

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/070,540 | 05/24/2002 | Vaidya Balendu Prakash | 31446-179039 | 5540 |
| 26694 | 7590 10/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385 | | | LILLING, HERBERT J | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1651 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/070,540 | PRAKASH, VAIDYA BALENDU | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | HERBERT J LILLING | 1651 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 2.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH. In the cause the application to become ABANA | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Se | | | | | |
| | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | Var alaction remains | | | | |
| are subject to restriction and | ivor election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached Of | fice Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | nts have been received in Appli | cation No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri | ority documents have been rec | eived in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | st of the certified copies not rece | eivea. | | | |
| Attack and/a) | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | ∆ ,□ | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma | nary (PTO-413) il Date | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/070,540

Art Unit: 1651

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment to the specification and remarks filed September 29, 2004.
 - Claims 1-17 are pending in this application.
 Claim 18 has been cancelled.
- 3. The rejections of claims 6,7 and 11 have been withdrawn in view of the remarks and supporting evidence found on the Internet for the claimed subject matter.

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 17 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention as to enabling written disclosure for the expression "latakasturi water".

Application/Control Number: 10/070,540

Art Unit: 1651

Page 3

It appears that this expression is not enabling based on the instant disclosure or in view of the remarks. Applicant has changed the spelling in the remarks, which will positively not be accepted. Applicant may argue support for the expression submitted in the specification and the claim but this examiner will not accept any other variations of the written disclosure.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Vague and indefinite as to the scope of the expression "latakasturi water".

Applicant is requested to submit information as to the scope of the required product e.g. catalogue showing definite scope for the claimed subject matter.

Applicant may overcome the above rejection by submitting a CIP containing enabling subject matter otherwise Applicant will have the opportunity to go to the Board of Appeals to overcome the present rejection. Applicant may also cancel the claimed subject matter so that the instant application would be allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,540

Art Unit: 1651

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918** and **Fax Number** is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit <u>1651</u> October 14, 2004

> Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner Group 1600 Art Unit 1651